arXiv:1104.3589v1 [math.AP] 18 Apr 2011

Asymptotic stability of Landau solutions to Navier-Stokes system[*]

Grzegorz Karch & Dominika Pilarczyk

Instytut Matematyczny, Uniwersytet Wrocławski,
pl. Grunwaldzki 2/4, 50-384 Wrocław, Poland
e-mail: {`grzegorz.karch,dominika.pilarczyk`}`@math.uni.wroc.pl`

January 19, 2013

Abstract

It is known that the three dimensional Navier-Stokes system for an incompressible fluid in the whole space has a one parameter family of explicit stationary solutions, which are axisymmetric and homogeneous of degree -1. We show that these solutions are asymptotically stable under any L^2-perturbation.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 76D07, 76D05, 35Q30, 35B40.
Keywords: Navier–Stokes equation, stationary solutions, asymptotic stability of solutions.

1 Introduction

The initial value problem for the Navier–Stokes system describing a motion of a viscous incompressible fluid in the whole three dimensional space has the form

$$u_t - \Delta u + (u\cdot\nabla)u + \nabla p = F, \quad (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0,\infty) \tag{1.1}$$

$$\mathrm{div}\ u = 0, \tag{1.2}$$

$$u(x,0) = u_0(x). \tag{1.3}$$

---

[*] This work was partially supported by the MNiSzW grants No. N N201 365736 and N N201 418839, and the Foundation for Polish Science operated within the Innovative Economy Operational Programme 2007-2013 funded by European Regional Development Fund (Ph.D. Programme: Mathematical Methods in Natural Sciences).

Here, the velocity $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$ and the scalar pressure p are unknown. Moreover, u_0 and F denote a given initial velocity and a given external force, respectively.

It is well-known, since the pioneer work of Leray [18], that for each $u_0 \in \big(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)\big)^3$ satisfying $\operatorname{div} u_0 = 0$ and for $F \equiv 0$, problem (1.1) possesses a weak solution, satisfying a suitable energy inequality (see the monograph [28] for analogous results with nonzero F). The uniqueness and the regularity of weak solutions still remain open. In [18], Leray posed a question whether a weak solution $u = u(x,t)$ tends to zero in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ as $t \to \infty$, which was affirmatively solved by Kato [12] in the case of strong solutions and Masuda [21] for weak solutions satisfying a strong energy inequality. Next, Schonbek [24] obtained decay rates for the L^2-norm of weak solutions using elementary properties of the Fourier transform. The ideas from [24] were developed and generalized by Wiegner [30]. We refer the reader to monographs [28, 17] for results on the existence of weak and strong solutions to (1.1)–(1.3) and to the review article [7] for a discussion of recent results of the large time behavior of solutions.

If $F \equiv 0$ in problem (1.1)–(1.3), the L^2-decay of weak solutions can be understood as the global asymptotic stability in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ of the trivial stationary solution $(u,p) = (0,0)$. In this work, we address analogous questions on the global asymptotic stability of the family of stationary solutions to (1.1)–(1.2) given by the following explicit formulas

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_c^1(x) &= 2\frac{c|x|^2 - 2x_1|x| + cx_1^2}{|x|(c|x| - x_1)^2}, &\qquad v_c^2(x) &= 2\frac{x_2(cx_1 - |x|)}{|x|(c|x| - x_1)^2},\\
v_c^3(x) &= 2\frac{x_3(cx_1 - |x|)}{|x|(c|x| - x_1)^2}, &\qquad p_c(x) &= 4\frac{cx_1 - |x|}{|x|(c|x| - x_1)^2},
\end{aligned}
\tag{1.4}
$$

where $|x| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2}$ and c is an arbitrary constant such that $|c| > 1$. The functions v_c and p_c defined in (1.4) satisfy (1.1) with $F \equiv 0$ in the pointwise sense for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$. On the other hand, if one treats them as a distributional or generalized solution to (1.1) in the whole $\mathbb{R}^3$, they correspond to the very singular external force $F = (b(c)\delta_0, 0, 0)$, where the parameter $b \neq 0$ depends on c and δ_0 stands for the Dirac measure. Indeed, in [5, Proposition 2.1.] (see also [1, p. 206]), it was shown that for every test function $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ the following equalities hold true

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v_c(x) \cdot \nabla\varphi(x)\,\mathrm{d}x = 0
$$

and

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Big(\nabla v_c^k \cdot \nabla\varphi - v_c^k v_c \cdot \nabla\varphi - p_c \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\varphi\Big)\,\mathrm{d}x = \begin{cases} b(c)\varphi(0) & \text{if } \ k = 1,\\ 0 & \text{if } \ k = 2,3, \end{cases}
$$

where

$$
b(c) = \frac{8\pi c}{3(c^2-1)}\Big(2 + 6c^2 - 3c(c^2-1)\log\Big(\frac{c+1}{c-1}\Big)\Big). \tag{1.5}
$$

In particular, the function $b = b(c)$ is decreasing on $(-\infty, -1)$ and $(1, +\infty)$. Moreover, $\lim_{c\to 1} b(c) = +\infty$, $\lim_{c\to -1} b(c) = -\infty$ and $\lim_{|c|\to\infty} b(c) = 0$.

These explicit stationary solutions to (1.1)–(1.2) were first calculated by Landau [15] and now they can be found in standard textbooks (see *e.g.* [16, p. 82] and [1, p. 206]). Let us also recall that the stationary solutions (1.4) were also independently found by Squire [26] and discussed in [5, 29] from a slightly different point of view. The main idea of Landau's calculation is that if we impose the additional axi-symmetry requirement, the stationary Navier-Stokes system

$$-\Delta u + (u \cdot \nabla) u + \nabla p = 0, \qquad \text{div } u = 0, \tag{1.6}$$

reduces to a system of ODEs which can be solved explicitly in terms of elementary functions. Moreover, Šverák [27] proved recently that even if we drop the requirement of axi-symmetry, then the Landau solutions (1.4) are still the only solutions of (1.6) which are invariant under the natural scaling. More precisely, he proved that if $u : \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is a non-trivial smooth solution of (1.6) satisfying $\lambda u(\lambda x) = u(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ and each $\lambda > 0$, then $(u, p) = (v_c, p_c)$ is given by formulas (1.4) (modulo a rotation of $\mathbb{R}^3$).

The goal of this work is to show that problem (1.1)–(1.3) has a weak solution for every initial datum of the form $u_0 = v_c + w_0$, where $w_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and the external force $F = (b(c)\delta_0, 0, 0)$ with $b(c)$ defined in (1.5), provided $|c|$ is sufficiently large. Moreover, this solution converges, as $t \to \infty$, towards the stationary solution (1.4). In other words, we show that the flow described by the Landau solution is, in some sense, asymptotically stable under any L^2-perturbation.

The existence and stability of stationary solutions corresponding to nontrivial external forces are well understood in the case of bounded domains, see for example [8]. For related results in exterior domains, we refer the reader to [10, 11] and to the references therein. The existence and the stability of stationary solutions in L^p with $p \geqslant n$, where n is the dimension of the space, is obtained in [25], under the condition that the Reynolds number is sufficiently small, and in [5, 6, 13, 14, 31] under the assumption that the external force is sufficiently small. The stability of small stationary solutions of (1.1)–(1.3) in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $p < 3$ has been studied recently in [3, 2].

Notation. In this work, the usual norm of the Lebesgue space $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_p$ for any $p \in [1, \infty]$. $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ denotes the set of smooth and compactly supported functions. Here, we work with the Sobolev space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) : \nabla f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)\}$ and with its homogeneous counterpart $\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) = \{f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3) : \nabla f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)\}$. We use the following notation for the Banach spaces of divergence free vector fields: $L^p_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3) = \{u \in \big(L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)\big)^3 : \text{div } u = 0\}$ and $\dot{H}^1_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3) = \{u \in \big(\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)\big)^3 : \text{div } u = 0\}$ supplemented with usual norms. The constants (always independent of x and t) will be denoted by the same letter C, even if they vary from line to line.

2 Results and comments

We denote by $u = u(x, t)$ a solution of the Navier–Stokes system (1.1)–(1.3) with the external force $F = b(c)\delta_0$, where $b(c)$ is defined in (1.5), and the initial datum $u_0 =$

$v_c + w_0$, where v_c is the singular stationary solution (1.4) and $w_0 \in L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then the functions $w(x,t) = u(x,t) - v_c(x)$ and $\pi(x) = p(x) - p_c(x)$ satisfy the initial value problem

$$w_t - \Delta w + (w\cdot\nabla)w + (w\cdot\nabla)v_c + (v_c\cdot\nabla)w + \nabla\pi = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\text{div } w = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

$$w(x,0) = w_0(x)\ . \tag{2.3}$$

The goal of this work is to show the existence of a global-in-time weak solution to problem (2.1)–(2.3) in a usual energy space (see (2.7) below) and to study its convergence in $L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3)$ as $t \to \infty$ zero. As in the classical work by Leray [18], these solutions satisfy a suitable energy inequality. Here, however, in the proof of the L^2-decay of solutions to (2.1)–(2.3), we need a strong energy inequality, introduced by Masuda [21] for the Navier-Stokes system (1.1)–(1.3).

In our analysis, the crucial role is played by the Hardy-type inequality

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w\cdot(w\cdot\nabla)v_c \,\mathrm{d}x\right| \leqslant K(c)\|\nabla\otimes w\|_2^2, \tag{2.4}$$

which is valid for all $w \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Here, the function $K = K(c) > 0$ satisfies $\lim_{|c|\to 1} K(c) = +\infty$ and $\lim_{|c|\to+\infty} K(c) = 0$ (see Theorem 3.2, below), hence, there exists $c_0 > 1$ such that

$$K(c) < 1 \quad \text{for all} \in \mathbb{R} \text{ satisfying} \quad |c| \geqslant c_0 > 1\,. \tag{2.5}$$

In the next section, we deduce inequality (2.4) from the classical Hardy inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|w(x)|^2}{|x|^2}\,\mathrm{d}x \leqslant 4\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w(x)|^2\,\mathrm{d}x \quad \text{for all } w \in \dot{H}(\mathbb{R}^3) \tag{2.6}$$

which proof can be found *e.g.* in [18, Ch. I. 6].

First, we state the counterpart of the Leray result on the existence of weak solutions to the initial value problem (2.1)–(2.2).

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that $c_0 > 1$ satisfies* (2.5). *For each $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|c| > c_0$, every $w_0 \in L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and every $T > 0$ problem* (2.1)–(2.3) *has a weak solution in the energy space*

$$X_T = L^\infty_w\big([0,T], L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3)\big) \cap L^2\big([0,T], \dot{H}^1_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3)\big), \tag{2.7}$$

which satisfies the strong energy inequality

$$\|w(t)\|_2^2 + 2(1-K(c))\int_s^t \|\nabla\otimes w(\tau)\|_2^2\,\mathrm{d}\tau \leqslant \|w(s)\|_2^2 \tag{2.8}$$

for almost all $s \geqslant 0$, including $s = 0$ and all $t \geqslant s$.

Recall that, following a classical approach, a function $w \in X_T$ is a weak solution of problem (2.1)–(2.3) if

$$\begin{aligned}\big(w(t), \varphi(t)\big) + \int_s^t \Big[\big(\nabla w, \nabla \varphi\big) + \big(w \cdot \nabla w, \varphi\big) + \big(w \cdot \nabla v_c, \varphi\big) + \big(v_c \cdot \nabla w, \varphi\big)\Big]\, d\tau \\ = \big(w(s), \varphi(s)\big) + \int_s^t \big(w, \varphi_\tau\big)\, d\tau\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

for all $t \geq s \geq 0$ and all $\varphi \in C([0,\infty), H^1_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap C^1([0,\infty), L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3))$, where $(\cdot,\cdot)$ is the standard L^2-inner product. Notice that each term in (2.9) containg the singular function v_c is convergent due to the Hardy inequality (2.6), see calculations in (3.6)-(3.7), below.

The proof of Theorem 2.1 follows the well-known argument which we recall in Section 3. Here, we only recall that the most general result on the existence of weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes system in the exterior domain satisfying the strong energy inequality was proved by Miyakawa and Sohr [20].

The decay in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ of weak solutions from Theorem 2.1 is the main result of this work.

Theorem 2.2. *Every weak solution $w = w(x,t)$ to problem (2.1)–(2.3) satisfying the strong energy inequality (2.8) has the property:* $\lim_{t\to\infty} \|w(t)\|_2 = 0$.

Under additional assumptions on initial data, we find also the decay rate of $\|w(t)\|_2$.

Corollary 2.3. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 if, moreover, $w_0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for some $p \in (\frac{6}{5}, 2)$, then there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|w(t)\|_2 \leqslant C t^{-\frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2})} \tag{2.10}$$

for all $t > 0$.

3 Hardy-type inequality and existence of weak solutions

First, we prove elementary pointwise estimates of the components of the matrix ∇v_c.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $|c| > 1$. There exist functions $K_{j,k} : (-\infty,-1) \cup (1,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ for every $j,k \in \{1,2,3\}$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$, we have*

$$\Big|\partial_{x_j} v_c^k(x)\Big| \leqslant \frac{K_{j,k}(c)}{|x|^2}. \tag{3.1}$$

Moreover, functions $K_{j,k} = K_{j,k}(c)$ have the following properties: $\lim_{|c|\to 1} K_{j,k}(c) = +\infty$ *and* $\lim_{|c|\to+\infty} K_{j,k}(c) = 0$ *for all $j,k \in \{1,2,3\}$.*

Proof. It follows from the explicit formula for v_c and p_c (*cf.* (1.4)) that

$$v_c^1(x) = \frac{1}{2}p(x)x_1 + \frac{2}{c|x| - x_1}, \quad v_c^2(x) = \frac{1}{2}p(x)x_2, \quad v_c^3(x) = \frac{1}{2}p(x)x_3, \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\nabla p(x) = \frac{4}{|x|^3(c|x| - x_1)^3}\begin{pmatrix} (c^2-2)|x|^3 + 3c|x|^2x_1 - 3c^2|x|x_1^2 + cx_1^3 \\ cx_2(2|x|^2 - 3c|x|x_1 + x_1^2) \\ cx_3(2|x|^2 - 3c|x|x_1 + x_1^2) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.3}$$

Moreover, using the expression for p_c from (1.4) and the notation $s = {}^{x_1}\!/_{|x|}$, we obtain

$$|p_c(x)| \leqslant \frac{4}{|x|^2}\left|\sup_{s\in[-1,1]} \frac{cs-1}{(c-s)^2}\right| = k_p(c)\frac{1}{|x|^2},$$

where $k_p(c) = \frac{4}{|c|-1}$. In the same way by (3.3), we have

$$|x_i\partial_{x_1}p_c(x)| \leqslant \frac{4}{|x|^2}\left|\sup_{s\in[-1,1]} \frac{cs^3 - 3c^2s^2 + 3cs + c^2 - 2}{(c-s)^3}\right| = k_{i,1}(c)\frac{1}{|x|^2}$$

and

$$|x_i\partial_{x_2}p_c(x)| \leqslant \frac{4c}{|x|^2}\left|\sup_{s\in[-1,1]} \frac{s^2 - 3cs + 2}{(c-s)^3}\right| = k_{i,2}(c)\frac{1}{|x|^2},$$

where $k_{i,1} = \frac{8}{1-|c|}$ and $k_{i,2} = \frac{12c}{(|c|-1)^2}$ for $i \in \{1,2,3\}$. Now, using the representation of v_c in terms of p_c from (3.2), we proceed in an analogous way to estimate all coefficients of the matrix $\{\partial_{x_j}v_c^k(x)\}_{j,k=1}^3$. ☐

The following theorem is the immediate consequence of Lemma 3.1 and of the classical Hardy inequality (2.6).

Theorem 3.2 (Hardy-type inequality)**.** *There exists a function* $K : (-\infty,-1)\cup(1,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ *with the following properties*

$$\lim_{|c|\to 1} K(c) = +\infty \quad \textit{and} \quad \lim_{|c|\to+\infty} K(c) = 0$$

such that for all vector fields $w \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, *we have* $w\cdot(w\cdot\nabla)v_c \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *together with the inequality*

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w\cdot(w\cdot\nabla)v_c\,\mathrm{d}x\right| \leqslant K(c)\|\nabla\otimes w\|_2^2. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. Applying Lemma 3.1, we get

$$H(w) \equiv \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w\cdot(w\cdot\nabla)v_c\,\mathrm{d}x\right| \leqslant \sum_{j,k=1}^{3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |w_jw_k||\partial_{x_j}v_c^k|\,\mathrm{d}x \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{\tilde{K}(c)}{|x|^2}\sum_{j,k=1}^{3}|w_j||w_k|\,\mathrm{d}x,$$

where $\tilde{K}(c) = \max_{j,k\in\{1,2,3\}} K_{j,k}(c)$. Using the elementary inequality $a \cdot b \leqslant (a^2+b^2)/2$, we obtain

$$H(w) \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\tilde{K}(c)}{|x|^2}\Big(\sum_{j,k=1}^{3}|w_j|^2 + \sum_{j,k=1}^{3}|w_k|^2\Big)\,\mathrm{d}x = 3\tilde{K}(c)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{|w|^2}{|x|^2}\,\mathrm{d}x.$$

Finally, from the classical Hardy inequality (2.6), we have $H(w) \leqslant K(c)\|\nabla\otimes w\|_2^2$, where $K(c) = 12\tilde{K}(c)$, which completes the proof of Theorem 3.2. ☐

Now, we are in a position to sketch the construction of weak solutions to problem (2.1)–(2.3).

Proof of Theorem 2.1. This is the standard reasoning based on the Galerkin method. Since $H^1_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is separable, there exists a sequence $g_1, ..., g_m, ...$ which is free and total in $H^1_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3)$. For each m, we define an approximate solution $w_m = \sum_{i=1}^m d_{im}(t)g_i$, which satisfy the following system of ordinary differential equations

$$\begin{aligned}\big(w_m'(t), g_j\big) + \big(\nabla w_m(t), g_j\big) + \big((w_m(t)\cdot\nabla)w_m(t), g_j\big) + \big((w_m(t)\cdot\nabla)v_c, g_j\big)\\ + \big((v_c\cdot\nabla)w_m(t), g_j\big) + \big(\nabla\pi, g_j\big) = 0 \qquad \text{for} \quad j = 1, ..., m,\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where $(f,g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(x)\cdot g(x)\,\mathrm{d}x$.

Let us prove that both terms in (3.5) containing the singular functions ∇v_c and v_c are convergent. First, using the estimates from Lemma 3.1 as in the proof of Theorem 3.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\big((w_m(t)\cdot\nabla)v_c, g_j\big) &\leqslant \sum_{k,\ell=1}^{3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|w_m^k g_j^\ell||\partial_{x_k}v_c^\ell|\,\mathrm{d}x\\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{\tilde{K}(c)}{|x|^2}\Big(\sum_{k,\ell=1}^{3}|w_m^k|^2 + |g_j^\ell|^2\Big)\,\mathrm{d}x.\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Each term on the right-hand side of (3.6) is finite due to the Hardy inequality (2.6). Next, using the explicit formulas (1.4) we immediately obtain $|\cdot|v_c \in \left(L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)\right)^3$, hence the Schwarz inequality implies

$$\big((v_c\cdot\nabla)w_m(t), g_j\big) \leqslant \big\||\cdot|v_c\big\|_\infty\big\||\cdot|^{-1}g_j\big\|_2\|\nabla w_m\|_2. \tag{3.7}$$

The right-hand side of this inequality is finite because $|\cdot|^{-1}g_j \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ by the Hardy inequality (2.6), again.

Now, we obtain *a priori* estimate of the sequence $\{w_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ by multiplying (3.5) by d_{jm} and adding the resulting equations for $j = 1, 2, ..., m$. Taking into account that div $w_m = 0$, we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|w_m(t)\|_2^2 + \|\nabla\otimes w_m(t)\|_2^2 + \big((w_m(t)\cdot\nabla)v_c, w_m(t)\big) = 0.$$

Consequently, using inequality (3.4) and integrating from s to t, we obtain the estimate

$$\|w_m(t)\|_2^2 + 2\big(1-K(c)\big)\int_s^t \|\nabla w_m(s)\|_2^2 \,\mathrm{d}s \leqslant \|w(s)\|_2^2.$$

Now, repeating the classical reasoning from *e.g.* [28, Ch. III. Thm. 3.1], we obtain the existence of a weak solution in the energy space X_T defined in (2.7), which satisfies strong energy inequality (2.8). □

4 Linearized equation

In the proof of the L^2-decay of weak solutions to problem (2.1)–(2.3), we use properties of solutions to the linearized Cauchy problem

$$z_t - \Delta z + (z\cdot\nabla)v_c + (v_c\cdot\nabla)z + \nabla\pi = 0, \quad (x,t)\in\mathbb{R}^3\times(0,\infty), \tag{4.1}$$

$$\operatorname{div} z = 0, \tag{4.2}$$

$$z(x,0) = z_0(x), \quad x\in\mathbb{R}^3. \tag{4.3}$$

Let us first recall that the Leray projector on divergence-free vector fields is defined by the formula $\mathbb{P}v = v - \nabla\Delta^{-1}(\nabla\cdot v)$ for sufficiently smooth vectors $v=(v_1(x),v_2(x),v_3(x))$. To give a meaning to $\mathbb{P}$, it suffices to use the Riesz transforms R_j which are the pseudo-differential operators defined in the Fourier variables as $\widehat{R_k f}(\xi) = \frac{i\xi}{|\xi|}\widehat{f}(\xi)$. Here, the Fourier transform of an integrable function v is given by $\widehat{v}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-3/2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathrm{e}^{-ix\cdot\xi} v(x)\,\mathrm{d}x$. Applying these well-known operators we define $(\mathbb{P}v)_j = v_j + \sum_{k=1}^3 R_j R_k v_k$.

Using the Leray projector $\mathbb{P}$, we can formally transform system (4.1)–(4.2) into

$$z_t - \Delta z + \mathbb{P}\Big((z\cdot\nabla)v_c\Big) + \mathbb{P}\Big((v_c\cdot\nabla)z\Big) = 0.$$

Now, for simplicity, let us denote the linear operator

$$\mathcal{L}z = -\Delta z + \mathbb{P}\Big((z\cdot\nabla)v_c\Big) + \mathbb{P}\Big((v_c\cdot\nabla)z\Big) \tag{4.4}$$

and its adjoint operator in $L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3)$ given by the formula

$$\mathcal{L}^* z = -\Delta z + (\nabla v_c)^T z - (v_c\cdot\nabla)z. \tag{4.5}$$

In the following, we study these operators via the corresponding sesquilinear forms which defined for all $z, v\in H^1_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3)$ as follows

$$a_{\mathcal{L}}(z,v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla z\cdot\nabla v\,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (z\cdot\nabla)v_c\cdot v\,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v_c\cdot\nabla)z\cdot v\,\mathrm{d}x \tag{4.6}$$

and

$$a_{\mathcal{L}^*}(z,v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla z\cdot\nabla v\,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla v_c)^T z\cdot v\,\mathrm{d}x - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v_c\cdot\nabla)z\cdot v\,\mathrm{d}x. \tag{4.7}$$

Our goal is to show that both operators $-\mathcal{L}$ and $-\mathcal{L}^*$ (in fact, their closures in $L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3)$) are infinitesimal generators of analytic semigroups of linear operators on $L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3)$, provided condition (2.5) is satisfied. Here, we use the following abstract criterion.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space and let $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be a dense subspace. Assume that $\mathcal{V}$ is a Hilbert space with the inner product $(\cdot,\cdot)_{\mathcal{V}}$ and with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{V}}$ such that for a constant $C > 0$ we have $\|x\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leqslant C\|x\|_{\mathcal{V}}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{V}$. Let $a(x,y)$ be a bounded sesquilinear form on $\mathcal{V}$, which defines an operator $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \to \mathcal{H}$ as follows*

$$\mathcal{D}(A) = \{z \in \mathcal{V} : |a(z,v)| \leqslant C\|v\|_{\mathcal{H}}, v \in \mathcal{V}\}, \quad (Az, v)_{\mathcal{H}} = a(z,v).$$

Suppose that for some $\alpha > 0$ and $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\alpha\|z\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2 \leqslant \mathrm{Re}\ a(z,z) + \lambda_0\|z\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2. \tag{4.8}$$

Then $-A$ is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup of linear operators on $\mathcal{H}$ which is holomorphic in a sector $S_\varepsilon = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : |\mathrm{Arg}\ s| < \varepsilon\}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

The result stated in Proposition 4.1 is essentially due to Lions [19]. Its proof is a combination of theorems from [19] and [23] and we do not include it here, because this is more or less standard reasoning. A detailed proof can be found *e.g.* either in [9, Prop. 1.1] or in [22, Prop. 1.51].

Now, we apply Proposition 4.1 to study operator $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}^*$.

Theorem 4.2. *Assume that $|c| \geqslant c_0$, where c_0 is defined in* (2.5)*. Then the operators $-\mathcal{L}$ and $-\mathcal{L}^*$ defined in* (4.4) *and* (4.5) *are infinitesimal generators of strongly continuous semigroups of linear operators on $L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3)$ which are holomorphic in a sector $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : |\mathrm{Arg}\ \ s| < \varepsilon\}$ for a certain $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(c) > 0$.*

Proof. We apply Proposition 4.1 with $\mathcal{H} = L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\mathcal{V} = H^1_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3)$. To show that the sesquilinear forms $a_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $a_{\mathcal{L}^*}$ are bounded on $\mathcal{V}$, it suffices to follow estimates from (3.6) and (3.7).

Condition (4.8) for the sesquilinear form $a_{\mathcal{L}}$ defined in (4.6) results immediately the following inequality

$$\alpha\|\nabla \otimes z\|_2^2 \leqslant a_{\mathcal{L}}(z,z) \tag{4.9}$$

for a certain $\alpha > 0$ and all $z \in H^1_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Here, we would like to recall that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(v_c \cdot \nabla)z \cdot z\,\mathrm{d}x = 0$ for div $v_c = 0$. Hence, estimate (4.9) is a consequence of Hardy–type inequality (3.4):

$$a_{\mathcal{L}}(z,z) = \|\nabla \otimes z\|_2^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (z \cdot \nabla)v_c \cdot z\,\mathrm{d}x \geqslant \big(1 - K(c)\big)\|\nabla \otimes z\|_2^2, \tag{4.10}$$

where $K(c) < 1$ for $|c| \geqslant c_0 > 1$ by (2.5). Using Proposition 4.1 we complete the proof that the operator $-\mathcal{L}$ generates a holomorphic semigroup of linear operators on $L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

An analogous argument applies to the adjoint operator $-\mathcal{L}^*$, where by Lemma 3.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\mathcal{L}^*}(z,z) &= \|\nabla \otimes z\|_2^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla v_c)^T z \cdot z\,\mathrm{d}x \\ &\geqslant \|\nabla \otimes z\|_2^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sum_{j,k=1}^{3} |\partial_{x_j} v_c^k||z_j||z_k| \geqslant \big(1 - K(c)\big)\|\nabla \otimes z\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Proposition 4.1, we complete the proof of Theorem 4.2. ☐

The following corollaries describe typical properties of generators of analytic semigroups. We state them for the operator $\mathcal{L}$, however, they are obviously valid for the adjoint operator $\mathcal{L}^*$, as well.

Corollary 4.3. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.2, the following inequality*

$$\|\nabla \otimes z\|_2 \leqslant \big(1 - K(c)\big)\|\mathcal{L}^{1/2} z\|_2 \tag{4.11}$$

holds true for all $z \in \dot{H}^1_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Proof. By the definition of a square root of nonnegative operators, we have $\|\mathcal{L}^{1/2} z\|_2^2 = a_{\mathcal{L}}(z, z)$. Hence to complete this proof, it suffices to recall inequality (4.10). ☐

Corollary 4.4. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.2,*

$$\|\mathrm{e}^{-t\mathcal{L}} z_0\|_2 \leqslant \|z_0\|_2 \tag{4.12}$$

for all $z_0 \in L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *and* $t > 0$.

Proof. Multiplying equation (4.1) by z and integrating over $\mathbb{R}^3$, we easily obtain energy equality

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|z(t)\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \otimes z(t)\|_2^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (z \cdot \nabla) v_c \cdot z \,\mathrm{d}x = 0,$$

because $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v_c \nabla) z \cdot z \,\mathrm{d}x = 0$ by the condition div $v_c = 0$. Hence, the Hardy-type inequality (3.4) yields

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|z(t)\|_2^2 + \big(1 - K(c)\big)\|\nabla \otimes z(t)\|_2^2 \leqslant 0\ , \tag{4.13}$$

where $1 - K(c) \geqslant 0$ by (2.5). Now, it is sufficient to integrate from 0 to t to obtain the inequality (4.12). ☐

Corollary 4.5. *There exists a constant* $C > 0$ *such that for all* $z_0 \in L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *the following inequalities*

$$\|\mathcal{L}\mathrm{e}^{-t\mathcal{L}} z_0\|_2 \leqslant C t^{-1} \|z_0\|_2 \tag{4.14}$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{L}^{1/2}\mathrm{e}^{-t\mathcal{L}} z_0\|_2 \leqslant C t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|z_0\|_2 \tag{4.15}$$

hold true for all $t > 0$.

Proof. Inequality (4.14) is the well-known property of analytic semigroups of linear operators (see *e.g.* [23, Theorem 5.2] for more details). Using properties of a square root of a nonnegative operator, the Schwarz inequality, inequality (4.14) and Corollary 4.4, we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{L}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{e}^{-t\mathcal{L}}\psi\|_2^2 = |(\mathcal{L}\mathrm{e}^{-t\mathcal{L}}\psi, \mathrm{e}^{-t\mathcal{L}}\psi)| \leqslant \|\mathcal{L}\mathrm{e}^{-t\mathcal{L}}\psi\|_2 \|\mathrm{e}^{-t\mathcal{L}}\psi\|_2 \leqslant C t^{-1}\|\psi\|_2^2$$

for all $t > 0$. ☐

Corollary 4.6. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.2, for all* $z_0 \in L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3)$

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \|\mathrm{e}^{-t\mathcal{L}} z_0\|_2 = 0. \tag{4.16}$$

Proof. Let $z_0 \in L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Since the range of the operator $\mathcal{L}$ is a dense subspace of $L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3)$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a function $\varphi \in \mathrm{Range}(\mathcal{L})$ such that $\|\varphi - z_0\|_2 < \varepsilon$. Consequently, applying Corollary 4.5 and Corollary 4.4, we obtain

$$\|\mathrm{e}^{-t\mathcal{L}} z_0\|_2 \leqslant \|\mathrm{e}^{-t\mathcal{L}}(z_0 - \varphi)\|_2 + \|\mathrm{e}^{-t\mathcal{L}}\varphi\|_2 \leqslant \varepsilon + \|\mathcal{L}\mathrm{e}^{-t\mathcal{L}}\psi\|_2 \leqslant \varepsilon + Ct^{-1}\|\psi\|_2,$$

where $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L})$. Hence, $\limsup_{t\to\infty} \|\mathrm{e}^{-t\mathcal{L}} z_0\|_2 \leqslant \varepsilon$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrarily small, we complete the proof. ☐

Corollary 4.7. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.2, for all* $z_0 \in L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3)$

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \|\mathrm{e}^{-s\mathcal{L}} z_0\|_2 \,\mathrm{d}s = 0\,. \tag{4.17}$$

Proof. Substituting $s = t\tau$, we get

$$\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \|\mathrm{e}^{-s\mathcal{L}} z_0\|_2 = \int_0^1 \|\mathrm{e}^{-t\tau\mathcal{L}} z_0\|_2 \,\mathrm{d}\tau\,.$$

Now, the desired result follows from Corollaries 4.4 and 4.6 combined with the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem. ☐

We conclude this section by showing the decay estimates of the semigroup $\mathrm{e}^{-t\mathcal{L}}$.

Proposition 4.8 (Hypercontractivity)**.** *Assume that* $|c| \geqslant c_0 > 1$, *where* c_0 *satisfies* (2.5). *For each* $p \in (\frac{6}{5}, 2)$ *there exists a constant* $C = C(p) > 0$ *such that for every* $z_0 \in L^p_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3)$

$$\|\mathrm{e}^{-t\mathcal{L}} z_0\|_2 \leqslant Ct^{-\frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2})}\|z_0\|_p \tag{4.18}$$

for all $t > 0$.

Proof. First, we consider the semigroup generated by the adjoint operator $\mathcal{L}^*$ defined in (4.5). For every $q \in (2, 6)$, using the Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequality, we obtain

$$\|\mathrm{e}^{-t\mathcal{L}^*} z_0\|_q^q \leqslant C\|\mathrm{e}^{-t\mathcal{L}^*} z_0\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}(6-q)} \|\nabla \mathrm{e}^{-t\mathcal{L}^*} z_0\|_2^{\frac{3}{2}(q-2)}.$$

Next, applying Corollaries 4.4 and 4.5 with $\mathcal{L}$ replaced by $\mathcal{L}^*$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathrm{e}^{-t\mathcal{L}^*} z_0\|_q^q &\leqslant C\|z_0\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}(6-q)} \|(\mathcal{L}^*)^{1/2} \mathrm{e}^{-t\mathcal{L}^*} z_0\|_2^{\frac{3}{2}(q-2)} \\ &\leqslant C\Big(t^{-\frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q})}\|z_0\|_2\Big)^q \qquad \text{for all } \ t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by a duality argument, we immediately deduce the inequality

$$\|\mathrm{e}^{-t\mathcal{L}} z_0\|_2 \leqslant Ct^{-\frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2})}\|z_0\|_p \quad \text{for all } \ t > 0,$$

with $p = \frac{q}{q-1} \in (\frac{6}{5}, 2)$. ☐

5 Asymptotic stability of weak solutions

To show the decay of $\|w(t)\|_2$, we use the approach from [4] which involves the weak L^p-spaces. By this reason, let us recall the weak Marcinkiewicz L^p-spaces ($1 < p < \infty$), denoted as usual by $L^{p,\infty} = L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, which belong to the scale of the Lorentz spaces and contain measurable functions $f = f(x)$ satisfying the condition

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R} : |f(x)| > \lambda\}| \leqslant C\lambda^{-p} \tag{5.1}$$

for all $\lambda > 0$ and a constant C. One check that (5.1) is equivalent to

$$\int_E |f(x)| \,\mathrm{d}x \leqslant \widetilde{C}|E|^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

for every measurable set E with a finite measure, another constant $\widetilde{C}$, and $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p} = 1$. This fact allows us to define the norm in $L^{p,\infty}$

$$\|f\|_{p,\infty} = \sup \left\{ |E|^{-1+\frac{1}{q}} \int_E |f(x)| \,\mathrm{d}x : E \in \mathcal{B} \right\} \tag{5.2}$$

where $\mathcal{B}$ is the collection of all Borel sets with a finite and positive measure. Recall the well-known imbedding $L^p \subset L^{p,\infty}$ being the consequence of the Markov inequality $|\{x \in \mathbb{R} : |f(x)| > \lambda\}| \leqslant \lambda^{-p} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^p \,\mathrm{d}x$. Moreover, the following inequalities hold true: *the weak Hölder inequality*:

$$\|fg\|_{r,\infty} \leqslant \|f\|_{p,\infty}\|g\|_{q,\infty} \tag{5.3}$$

for every $1 < p \leqslant \infty$ (here $L^{\infty,\infty} = L^\infty$), $1 < q < \infty$ and $1 < r < \infty$ satisfying $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p}$, and *the weak Young inequality*

$$\|f * g\|_{r,\infty} \leqslant C\|f\|_{p,\infty}\|g\|_{q,\infty} \tag{5.4}$$

for every $1 < p < \infty$, $1 < q < \infty$ and $1 < r < \infty$ satisfying $1 + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$. We refer reader to [4] for the proofs of the results stated above.

The following lemma is extracted from reasonings contained in [4] and its proof is based on properties of the weak L^p-spaces.

Lemma 5.1. *Assume that $f \in L^1\big((0, +\infty)\big)$. For every $\alpha \in (1, +\infty]$ there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \Big(|\cdot|^{-\frac{1}{2}} * \big(|\cdot|^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} f^{\frac{3}{4}} \big) \Big)(s) \,\mathrm{d}s \leqslant Ct^{-\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \|f\|_1^{\frac{3}{4}}. \tag{5.5}$$

for all $t > 0$. Here, for $\alpha = +\infty$, the quantity $1/\alpha$ should be replaced by 0.

Proof. First, consider ${}^{1}\!/\!{}_{\alpha} = 0$. Using the definition of the norm (5.2) in the weak L^p-spaces, we have

$$L_1 = \frac{1}{t}\int_0^t \Big(|\cdot|^{-\frac{1}{2}} * f^{\frac{3}{4}} \Big)(s)\,\mathrm{d}s \leqslant t^{-\frac{1}{q}} \big\| |\cdot|^{-\frac{1}{2}} * f^{\frac{3}{4}} \big\|_{q,\infty}$$

for every $q \in (1,\infty)$ to be chosen later. Since, $\|g\|_{p,\infty} \leqslant C\|g\|_p$ for all $p \in [1,\infty]$, the weak Young inequality (5.4) implies

$$L_1 \leqslant Ct^{-\frac{1}{q}} \big\| |\cdot|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \big\|_{2,\infty} \|f\|^{\frac{3}{4}}_{\frac{3}{4}r},$$

where $1 + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{r}$. Hence, however, we require ${}^{3r}\!/\!{}_{4} = 1$, hence $q = 4$. Since the function $|\cdot|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \in L^{2,\infty}\big((0,+\infty)\big)$, we complete the proof of (5.5) in case $\alpha = +\infty$.

For $\alpha \in (0,+\infty)$, applying an analogous argument involving the definition of the norm (5.2) in the weak L^p-spaces, the weak Young inequality (5.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L_2 = \frac{1}{t}\int_0^t \Big(|\cdot|^{-\frac{1}{2}} * |\cdot|^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} f^{\frac{3}{4}} \Big)(s)\,\mathrm{d}s &\leqslant t^{-\frac{1}{p}} \big\| |\cdot|^{-\frac{1}{2}} * |\cdot|^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} f^{\frac{3}{4}} \big\|_{p,\infty} \\ &\leqslant t^{-\frac{1}{p}} \big\| |\cdot|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \big\|_{2,\infty} \big\| |\cdot|^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} f^{\frac{3}{4}} \big\|_{q,\infty} \end{aligned}$$

for every $p, q \in (1,\infty)$ satisfying $1 + \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{q}$. Now, the weak Hölder inequality (5.3) gives us

$$L_2 \leqslant Ct^{-\frac{1}{p}} \big\| |\cdot|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \big\|_{2,\infty} \big\| |\cdot|^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \big\|_{\alpha,\infty} \|f\|^{\frac{3}{4}}_{\frac{3}{4}r},$$

where $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{\alpha}$. Assuming ${}^{3r}\!/\!{}_{4} = 1$, we get ${}^{1}\!/\!{}_{p} = {}^{1}\!/\!{}_{4} + {}^{1}\!/\!{}_{\alpha}$. □

Lemma 5.2. *There exists $C > 0$ such that for all $v, w \in H^1_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\psi \in L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3)$ the following estimate*

$$\big((w \cdot \nabla)v, e^{-t\mathcal{L}^*}\psi\big) \leqslant Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\|w\|_2\|v\|_2)^{\frac{1}{4}} (\|\nabla w\|_2 \|\nabla v\|_2)^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\psi\|_2 \tag{5.6}$$

holds true for all $t > 0$.

Proof. By inequalities (4.11) and (4.15) (with $\mathcal{L}$ replaced by $\mathcal{L}^*$), we have $\|\nabla \mathrm{e}^{-t\mathcal{L}^*}\psi\|_2 \leqslant Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|\psi\|_2$. Hence, a direct calculation involving the integration by parts, the Hölder inequality and inequality (4.12) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \big|\big((w\cdot\nabla)v, e^{-t\mathcal{L}^*}\psi\big)\big| = \big|\big(v, w\cdot\nabla \mathrm{e}^{-t\mathcal{L}^*}\psi\big)\big| &\leqslant \|v\|_4 \|w\cdot\nabla \mathrm{e}^{-t\mathcal{L}^*}\psi\|_{\frac{4}{3}} \\ &\leqslant \|v\|_4\|w\|_4\|\nabla \mathrm{e}^{-t\mathcal{L}}\psi\|_2 \leqslant Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|v\|_4\|w\|_4\|\psi\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the proof is completed by the Sobolev inequality $\|v\|_4 \leqslant C\|\nabla v\|_2^{\frac{3}{4}}\|v\|_2^{\frac{1}{4}}$, which holds true for all $v \in H^1_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3)$. □

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Let w be a weak solution of system (2.1)–(2.3) in the space X_T defined in Theorem 2.1 which satisfies the strong energy inequality (2.8). First, we show that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\int_0^t \|w(s)\|_2\,\mathrm{d}s = 0. \tag{5.7}$$

Observe that inequality (2.8) implies $\|w(\cdot)\|_2 \in L^\infty(0,\infty)$ and $\|\nabla w(\cdot)\|_2^2 \in L^1(0,\infty)$. Now, with an arbitrary $\psi \in C^\infty_{c,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we substitute $\varphi(\tau) = \mathrm{e}^{-(s-\tau)\mathcal{L}^*}\psi$ into equation (2.9) (with $s = 0$ and t replaced by s) to obtain the following integral formulation of problem (2.1)-(2.3)

$$\big(w(s),\psi\big) = \big(\mathrm{e}^{-s\mathcal{L}}w_0,\psi\big) + \int_0^s \big((w\cdot\nabla w)(\tau),\mathrm{e}^{-(s-\tau)\mathcal{L}^*}\psi\big)\,\mathrm{d}\tau. \tag{5.8}$$

Here, in calculations leading to (5.8), one should transform the last term on the right-hand side of (2.9) in the following way

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^s \big(w,\varphi_\tau\big)\,d\tau &= \int_0^s \big(w,\mathcal{L}^*\varphi\big)\,d\tau = \int_0^s \big(\mathcal{L}w,\varphi\big)\,d\tau\\ &= \int_0^s \Big[\big(\nabla w,\nabla\varphi\big) + \big(w\cdot\nabla v_c,\varphi\big) + \big(v_c\cdot\nabla w,\varphi\big)\Big]\,d\tau,\end{aligned}$$

because $\operatorname{div}\varphi = 0$.

Hence, applying Lemma 5.2 to estimate the nonlinear term in (5.8) and the L^2-duality argument, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|w(s)\|_2 &\leqslant \|\mathrm{e}^{-s\mathcal{L}}w_0\|_2 + C\int_0^s (s-\tau)^{-\frac12}\|w(\tau)\|_2^{\frac12}\|\nabla w(\tau)\|_2^{\frac32}\,\mathrm{d}\tau\\ &\leqslant \|\mathrm{e}^{-s\mathcal{L}}w_0\|_2 + C\sup_{\tau>0}\|w(\tau)\|_2^{\frac12}\int_0^s (s-\tau)^{-\frac12}\|\nabla w(\tau)\|_2^{\frac32}\,\mathrm{d}\tau\end{aligned}$$

since $\|w(\cdot)\|_2 \in L^\infty(0,\infty)$. Integrating from 0 to t and multiplying by $^1/_t$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{t}\int_0^t \|w(s)\|_2\,\mathrm{d}s \leqslant \frac{1}{t}\int_0^t \|\mathrm{e}^{-s\mathcal{L}}w_0\|_2\,\mathrm{d}s + \frac{C}{t}\int_0^t \Big(|\cdot|^{-\frac12} * \|\nabla w(\cdot)\|_2^{\frac32}\Big)(s)\,\mathrm{d}s\,.$$

Now, since $\|\nabla w\|_2^2 \in L^1\big((0,+\infty)\big)$, we apply Lemma 5.1 with $^1/_\alpha = 0$ to get the estimate

$$\frac{1}{t}\int_0^t \|w(s)\|_2\,\mathrm{d}s \leqslant \frac{1}{t}\int_0^t \|\mathrm{e}^{-s\mathcal{L}}w_0\|_2\,\mathrm{d}s + Ct^{-\frac14}, \tag{5.9}$$

which proves (5.7) by Corollary 4.7.

Next, notice that, by the strong energy inequality (2.8), $\|w(t)\|_2$ is a non-increasing function of t for almost all $t \geqslant 0$. Hence, for $t > 0$ we obtain

$$\|w(t)\|_2 = \frac{1}{t}\|w(t)\|_2\int_0^t \mathrm{d}s \leqslant \frac{1}{t}\int_0^t \|w(s)\|_2\,\mathrm{d}s\,. \tag{5.10}$$

The proof is completed by (5.7). □

Proof of Corollary 2.3. Using the decay estimate from Proposition 4.8, we have

$$\frac{1}{t}\int_0^t \|\mathrm{e}^{-s\mathcal{L}}w_0\|_2\,\mathrm{d}s \leqslant Ct^{-\frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2})}\|w_0\|_p$$

for each $p \in (\frac{6}{5}, 2)$ and all $t > 0$. Applying this inequality in (5.9) and recalling (5.10), we complete the proof of the corollary in the case of $p \in [\frac{3}{2}, 2)$.

Now, we notice that for $p \in (\frac{6}{5}, \frac{3}{2})$ inequality (5.9) implies $\|w(t)\|_2 \leqslant Ct^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ for all $t > 0$. Hence, repeating the reasoning from the proof of Theorem 2.2 and applying Lemma 5.1 with $\alpha = 8$, we get the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{t}\int_0^t \|w(s)\|_2\,\mathrm{d}s &\leqslant \frac{1}{t}\int_0^t \|\mathrm{e}^{-s\mathcal{L}}w_0\|_2\,\mathrm{d}s + \frac{C}{t}\int_0^t \Big(|\cdot|^{-\frac{1}{2}} * |\cdot|^{-\frac{1}{8}}\|\nabla w(\cdot)\|_2^{\frac{3}{2}}\Big)(s)\,\mathrm{d}s\\ &\leqslant Ct^{-\frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2})}\|w_0\|_p + Ct^{-\frac{3}{8}},\end{aligned}$$

which proves decay estimate (2.10) for $p \in [\frac{4}{3}, \frac{3}{2})$. Repeating this procedure finitely many times, we complete the proof for each $p \in (\frac{6}{5}, 2)$. □

References

[1] G. K. Batchelor, An Introduction to Fluid Dynamics, Cambridge University Press, 1974.

[2] C. Bjorland, L. Brandolese, D. Iftimie, M. E. Schonbek, *L^p-solutions of the steady-state Navier-Stokes equations with rough external forces*, Comm. Part. Diff. Equ. **36** (2011), 216–246.

[3] C. Bjorland, M. E. Schonbek, *Existence and stability of steady-state solutions with finite energy for the Navier-Stokes equation in the whole space*, Nonlinearity **22** (2009) Vol. 7,

[4] W. Borchers, T. Miyakawa, *L^2 decay for Navier-Stokes flows in unbounded domains, with application to exterior stationary flows*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **118** (1992), 273–295

[5] M. Cannone, G. Karch, *Smooth or singular solutions to the Navier-Stokes system*, J. Differential Equations **197** (2004), 247–274 .

[6] M. Cannone, G. Karch, *About the regularized Navier-Stokes equations*, J. Math. Fluid Mech. **7** (2005), 1–28.

[7] M. Cannone, *Harmonic analysis tools for solving the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations.* Handbook of mathematical fluid dynamics.Vol. III, 161–244, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2004.

[8] C. R. Doering and J. D. Gibbon, Applied analysis of the Navier-Stokes equations, Cambridge Texts in Applied Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1995).

[9] J. Dziubański, A. Hulanicki, *On semigroups generated by left-invariant positive differential operators on nilpotent Lie groups*, Studia Math. **94** (1989), 81–95.

[10] R. Finn, *On the exterior stationary problem for the Navier-Stokes equations, and associated perturbation problems*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **19** (1965), 363–406.

[11] J. G. Heywood, *On stationary solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations as limits of non-stationary solutions*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **37** (1970), 48–60.

[12] T. Kato, *Strong L^p solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations in $\mathbb{R}^m$, with applications to weak solutions*, Math. Z. **187** (1984), 471–480.

[13] H. Kozono, M. Yamazaki, *Exterior problem for the stationary Navier-Stokes equations in the Lorentz space*, Math. Ann. **310** (1998), 279–305.

[14] H. Kozono, M. Yamazaki, *The stability of small stationary solutions in Morrey spaces of the Navier-Stokes equation*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **44** (1995), no. 4, 1307–1336.

[15] L. D. Landau, *A new exact solution of the Navier-Stokes equations*, C. R. (Doklady) Acad. Sci. URSS (N.S.) **43** (1944), 286–288.

[16] L. D. Landau, E. M. Lifshitz, Fluid Mechanics, Fluid mechanics. Translated from the Russian by J. B. Sykes and W. H. Reid. Course of Theoretical Physics, Vol. 6 Pergamon Press, London-Paris-Frankfurt; Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Inc., Reading, Mass. 1959.

[17] P. G. Lemarié-Rieusset, Recent developments in the Navier-Stokes problem. Chapman & Hall/CRC Research Notes in Mathematics 431. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2002.

[18] J. Leray, *Sur le mouvement d'un liquide visqueux emplissant l'espace*, Acta. Math. **63** (1934), 193–248.

[19] J. L. Lions, Équations Différentielles Opérationnelles, Springer, Berlin 1961.

[20] T. Miyakawa, H. Sohr, *On energy inequality, smoothness and large time behavior in L^2 for weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in exterior domains*, Math. Z. **199** (1988), 455–478.

[21] K. Masuda, *Weak solutions of Navier-Stokes equations*, Tōhoku Math. Journ. **36** (1984), 623–646.

[22] E. M. Ouhabaz, Analysis of heat equation on domains, Princeton University Press, 2004.

[23] A. Pazy, Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations, Springer, New York, 1983.

[24] M. E. Schonbek, *L^2 decay for weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **88** (1985), 209–222.

[25] P. Secchi, *On the stationary and non-stationary Navier-Stokes equations in* $\mathbb{R}^n$, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **153** (1988), 293–305.

[26] H. B. Squire, *The round laminar jet*, Quart. J. Mech. Appl. Math. **4** (1951), 321–329.

[27] V. Šverák, *On Landau's solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations*, arXiv: math/0604550v1 [math.AP]

[28] R. Temam, Navier-Stokes equations. Theory and numerical analysis. Studies in Math. and its Applications. vol 2. North Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam-New York, 1977.

[29] G. Tian, Z. Xin, *One-point singular solutions to the Navier–Stokes equations*, Topological Methods Nonlinear Anal. **11** (1998), 135–145 .

[30] M. Wiegner, *Decay results for weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in* $\mathbb{R}^n$, J. London, Math. Soc. **36**(1987), 303–313.

[31] M. Yamazaki, *The Navier-Stokes equations in the weak L^n-space with time dependent external force*, Math. Ann., **317** (2000), 635–675.